

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Examiner's Office Action of July 11, 2008 issued in relation to the present Patent Application, the Applicant submits Amendments to the claims, and the below Remarks.

Claims 1 to 14 are presented for examination. Claim 1 is an independent claim.

Regarding Amendments

Claim 1 has been amended to redefine the function of the display. Also, a typographical error in reciting the inbuilt printer device has been corrected to define that the printer device is for printing, not displaying.

It is respectfully submitted that the Amendments do not add any new matter to the present application.

Regarding Claim Objection.

Claim 1 is objected to because of an informality.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite "an inbuilt printer device for **printing** ..." as correctly interpreted by the Examiner.

Regarding 35 USC 112 Claim Rejections

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Claim 1 has been amended to remove the limitation of "... *after manipulation by the programming language interpreter*". Claim 1 now reads "*a display for displaying at least the digital image;*".

Paragraph 0680 discloses that the TFT displays the captured image after image capture, as is acknowledged by the Examiner on page 3 of the Detailed Action.

It is requested that the rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant/s:



Kia Silverbrook



Paul Lapstun

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia
Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com
Telephone: +612 9818 6633
Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762